⇔ FORSYTH BARR

Last data update: **28/11/2022**

Company ticker: **NZX**



			Financials	New Zealand	Financials
	Grade	Score	Sector Average	Average	Sector Weight
Carbon	D	39.2%	48.1%	51.9%	15%
Environment	D	35.6%	30.7%	48.9%	15%
Social	С	46.0%	58.1%	65.6%	30%
Governance	B+	71.5%	67.5%	71.8%	40%
Total	C+	53.6%	56.2%	62.9%	

Forsyth Barr Commentary

NZX scores best in the G category but has potential for improvement across all categories. Its commitment to Net Zero helps its C score, though an emissions reduction target and undertaking a physical risk assessment would help improve this score. There is also room for improvement in the E category with NZX currently having no commitment to reduce waste and water, support biodiversity or commitment to the circular economy. We acknowledge that NZX's operations do not have a significant impact on the environment (reflected in the 15% weighting of the E category to the overall score). However, we are of the view that all companies, no matter what sector, should be doing what they can in these areas. NZX's otherwise solid S score is hurt by the DDoS cyberattacks that briefly halted trading on the exchange in 2020. The Company scores best in the G category, though this could be improved by linking sustainability to management remuneration.

Carbon		Metric	Data	Score	Weight	Group Score	Group
GHG Emissions Emissions Intensity / Transition Risk Emissions Management	1.01	Is Scope 1 and 2 CO2e (tonnes) tracked and measured by the company?	Avoors	0.80	33.33%	53.33%	
		If so, how many of the last years has it been reported?	4 years	0.60	33.33%		25.00%
GI IG LIIISSIOIIS	1.02	If five years of data, is Scope 1+2 increasing/stable/decreasing?	N/A	0.00	33.33%		25.00%
	1.03	Is Scope 3 CO2e (tonnes) publicly reported?	4 years	0.80	33.33%		
Emissions Intensity	1.04	Carbon intensity (tonnes of Scope 1 + 2 CO2e/revenue)	0.5	1.00	50.00%		
,	1.05	Carbon intensity (tonnes of Scope 1 + 2 CO2e/earnings)	1.2	Unscored	0.00%	50.00%	25.00%
/ Transition Kisk	1.06	If five years of data, is carbon intensity increasing/stable/decreasing?	N/A	0.00	50.00%		
	1.07	Is there an emissions reduction target in place?	No	0.00	20.00%		
	1.08	If so, is the target science based?	No	0.00	20.00%	20.00%	25.00%
	1.09	Is the target for actual reductions?	No	0.00	20.00%		
	1.10		Yes	1.00	20.00%		
	1.11	,					
	1.11.1	If a company has a Net Zero commitment, by what year is the commitment?	No	0.00	5.00%		
Management	1.11.2	If a company has a Net Zero commitment, is the commitment to a 1.5 degree celsius world?	No	0.00	5.00%		
	1.11.3	If a company has a Net Zero commitment, which emission scopes are included?	Not Specified	0.00	5.00%		
1	1.11.4	If a company has a Net Zero commitment, are there interim targets or a goal of a 50% reduction by 2030?	No	0.00	5.00%		
	1.12	Has a physical risk assessment been undertaken?	No	0.00	33.33%		
	Intensity on Risk 1.05 In Risk 1.06 If five years of data, is carbon intensity increasing/stable/decreasing? 1.07 Is there an emissions reduction target in place? Intensity its one and intensity increasing/stable/decreasing? 1.07 Is there an emissions reduction target in place? Intensity its one an emissions reduction target in place? Intensity its one an emissions reduction target in place? Intensity its one an emissions reduction target in place? Intensity its one an emissions reduction target in place? Intensity its one an emissions reduction target in place? Intensity its one an emissions reduction target in place? Intensity its one an emissions reduction target in place? Intensity its one an emissions reduction target in place? Intensity its one an emissions reduction target in place? Intensity its one an emission reduction target in place? Intensity its one an emission reduction target in place? Intensity its one an emission reduction target in place? Intensity its one an emission reduction target in place? Intensity its one an emission reduction target in place? Intensity its one an emission reduction target in place? Intensity its one an emission reduction target in place? Intensity its one an emission reduction target in place? Intensity its one an emission reduction target in place? Intensity its one an emission reduction target in place? Intensity its one an emission reduction target in place? Intensity its one an emission reduction target in place? Intensity its one an emission reduction target in place? Intensity its one an emission scope and one one an emission scope and one						
	1.14		No	0.00	33.33%	33.33%	25.00%
тчанаденнені	1.15	of capital expenditure, financing, or investment) toward climate-related	-	Unscored	0.00%		
C - Total							D (39.17%)

Environmental		Metric	Data	Score	Weight	Group Score	Group
	2.01	Does the company have an ISO 14001, EMS, Toitū Envirocare	Toitu	1.00	33.33%	66.67%	
	2.01	carbonzero or equivalent certification on all applicable sites?	Tolta	1.00	33.3376		
Environmental	2.02	Has the company issued any green/social bonds or committed to a	No Debt Issued	1.00	33.33% 66.67%		
Management	2.02	sustainability linked loan?					16.67%
Systems		Has the company made any commitments to new build or retrofit to					
	2.03	meet level 4, 5 or 6 of the green star (or equivalent Homestar if relevant)	No	0.00	33.33%	33.33%	
		standard in owned or leased buildings?					
Waste	2.04	Is there a commitment to reduce waste in place?	Yes	1.00	33.33%	46.67%	
	2.05	Is waste intensity data tracked and measured?	2 years	0.40	33.33%		16.67%
	2.06	If there is five years of data, is it increasing/stable/decreasing?	N/A	0.00	33.33%		
	2.07	Has there been a breach related to resource consent discharges (i.e.		Unscored	0.00%		
	2.07	nutrient discharge) in the last five years?	-	Unscored	0.00%		
	2.08	Is there a target for achieving water consumption efficiency?	No	0.00	33.33%		
Water	2.09	Is water consumption intensity data tracked and measured?	0 years	0.00	33.33%	0.00%	16.67%
	2.10	If there is five years of data, is it increasing/stable/decreasing?	N/A	0.00	33.33%		
D:	0.44	Is there a commitment to reduce the impact of the company's operations	No	0.00	100.000/	0.00%	16.67%
Biodiversity 2.11	on biodiversity?	INO	0.00	100.00%	0.00%	10.07%	
Circular Economy	2.12	Is there a commitment to the circular economy?	No	0.00	100.00%	0.00%	16.67%
Environmental	2.13	Has there been an environmental fine in the last three years?	No	1.00	100.00%	100.00%	16.67%
E - Total							D (35.56%)

Social		Metric	Data	Score	Weight	Group Score	Group
	3.01	Is there a health & safety policy?	Yes	1.00	16.67%		
	3.02	Are there safety management targets in place?	No	0.00	16.67%		
Health & Safety	3.03	Is a measure of safety (e.g. LTIFR) tracked and measured?	Yes	1.00	16.67%	60.00%	12.50%
ricallii & Salety	3.04	If yes, is data reported?	3 years	0.60	16.67%		12.50%
	3.05	If there is five years of data, is it increasing/stable/decreasing?	N/A	0.00	16.67%		
	3.06	Have there been any workplace fatalities in the last five years?	No	1.00	16.67%		
Human Rights	3.07	Is there a human rights policy?	Yes	1.00	50.00%	50.00%	12.50%
Hullian Rights	3.08	Is there a commitment to preventing modern slavery?	No	0.00	50.00%	30.00%	12.50%
	3.09	Is there a supply chain code of conduct?	Yes	1.00	33.33%		
Supply Chain	3.10	Is there an environmental policy for the supply chain?	No	0.00	33.33%	33.33%	12.50%
	3.11	Is there a formal commitment to pay vendors a living wage?	No	0.00	33.33%		
		Have there been any product or service faults (unplanned) resulting in,					
Product Quality &	3.12	for example, disruption to operations or recalls (including FDA regulated	Yes	0.00	100.00%	0.00%	12.50%
Accessibility		products if relevant), in the last three years?				0.00%	12.50%
	3.13	Portion of care beds offered at standard rate?	-	Unscored	0.00%		
Employee Value	3.14	Is employee turnover measured and publicly reported?	Yes	1.00	25.00%	75.00%	
Imployee value Proposition /	3.15	If yes, is it <10%, <20%, >20%?	22%	0.00	25.00%		12.50%
•	osition /	Is there a contemporary parental leave policy?	Yes	1.00	25.00%		12.50%
Culture	3.17	Has the company made a living wage commitment?	Yes	1.00	25.00%		ļ
	3.18	Does the company track and measure ethnicity diversity metrics?	No	0.00	50.00%		
Diversity	3.19	Does the company track and measure the % of women managers in	91%	1.00	50.00%	50.00%	12.50%
	3.19	relation to % of women employees?	91%	1.00	50.00%		
Community	3.20	Is there a policy to manage community involvement?	Vos	1.00	100.00%	100.00%	12.50%
Relations	3.20	is there a policy to manage community involvement:	Yes	1.00	100.00%	100.00%	12.50%
Stakeholder	0.04	Is the business model stakeholder centric?	NI.	0.00	100.00%	0.000/	40.500/
Relations	3.21	is the pusitiess model stakeholder centric:	No	0.00	100.00%	0.00%	12.50%
S - Total							C (46.04%)

Governance		Metric	Data	Score	Weight	Group Score	Group
	4.01	Is there a sustainability strategy?	No	0.00	20.00%		
	4.02	Is remuneration linked to achieving sustainability performance?	No	0.00	20.00%		
ustainability	4.03	Any recent level 3, 4 or 5 controversies?	No	0.50	20.00%		
4	4.04	Has there been a breach of UN Global Compact principles or are they on the watch list?	Compliant	1.00	20.00%	30.00%	14.29%
	4.05	Does the company have B Corporation or Future-Fit certification?	No	0.00	20.00%		
	4.06	Does the company have shares with different voting rights?	No	1.00	25.00%		
vestor	4.07	Is there potential for a 'blocking' shareholder?	9.06%	1.00	25.00%		
rotections	4.08	How many anti-takeover devices are there?	2	1.00	25.00%	100.00%	14.29%
rotections	4.09	Is there any evidence of significant unequal treatment of minority shareholders in any equity raisings in the last three years?	Positive	1.00	25.00%		
	4.10	Do non-executive and independent Board members comprise the	100%	1.00	12.50%	87.50%	
	4.11	Is the CEO, the Chair?	No	1.00	12.50%		
	4.12	What is the average Board tenure?	5.3	1.00	12.50%		
	4.13	What is the average number of Board member affiliations?	Null	Unscored	0.00%		
oard	4.14	What is the size of the Board (number of people)?	7	1.00	12.50%		14.29%
	4.15	Is a Board skills matrix disclosed?	Yes	1.00	12.50%		
	4.16	Does the company have a policy for maintaining a well-balanced Board?	Yes	1.00	12.50%		
	4.17	Are all audit-committee members non-executive directors?	100%	1.00	12.50%		
	4.18	Is the Board's gender diversity sufficient? (i.e. <2/3 one gender)	29%	0.00	12.50%		
	4.19	Is the auditor tenure >10 years?	8 years	1.00	25.00%	100.00%	
uditors	4.20	Has the lead audit partner rotated in the last five years?	Yes	1.00	25.00%		14.29%
uuitois	4.21	Is auditor compensation for non-audit publicly reported?	Yes	1.00	25.00%		14.27/0
	4.22	If yes, >70% of statutory audit fees — average over last three years?	26.33%	1.00	25.00%		
ata Security &	4.23	Is there a cybersecurity policy in place?	Yes	1.00	50.00%	100.00%	14.29%
trategy	4.24	Is there a data privacy and protection policy in place?	Yes	1.00	50.00%		14.27/0
ax	4.25	Does the Board have a tax governing framework in place?	No	0.00	100.00%	0.00%	14.29%
Reporting & Assurance	4.26	First quartile Bloomberg disclosure score	41.49	0.50	33.33%	83.33%	
	4.27	External assurance of sustainability report or disclosures?	Yes	1.00	33.33%		
	4.28	Has the company acted with integrity in financial reporting and in the timeliness and balance of corporate disclosures within the last five years?	Yes	1.00	33.33%		14.29%
- Total							B+ (71.55

Data sourced from Forsyth Barr analysis, company disclosures, Refinitiv Eikon, Sustainalytics and Bloomberg.