FORSYTH BARR

Last data update: 28/11/2022

Company ticker: POT

B+ Fast Follower

			Infrastructure	New Zealand	Infrastructure
	Grade	Score	Sector Average	Average	Sector Weight
Carbon	В	64.0%	64.8%	51.9%	20%
Environment	C+	53.3%	48.2%	48.9%	20%
S ocial	A-	79.7%	70.9%	65.6%	20%
Governance	A-	75.8%	74.8%	71.8%	40%
Total	B+	69.7%	66.7%	62.9%	

Forsyth Barr Commentary

POT scores strongly in the S category. The strong Social rating is led by positive health and safety, product quality and community and stakeholder relations scores. POT's C rating of B is elevated by good emissions management and GHG emissions reporting. POT is committed to adapting its policies, processes and practices for a low-carbon, carbon-resilient future. POT scores well across the G sub-categories, with only the Investor Protections sub-category lagging behind due to a 54% shareholding by the Bay of Plenty Regional Council and a number of anti-takeover devices. POT scores lowest in the E category driven by a poor environmental management system, water consumption and reporting, and circular economy scores.

Carbon		Metric	Data	Score	Weight	Group Score	Group
	1.01	Is Scope 1 and 2 CO2e (tonnes) tracked and measured by the company?	5+ years	1.00	33.33%		•
GHG Emissions	1.00	If so, how many of the last years has it been reported?	04.00/	0.00	00.000/	66.67%	56.67% 25.00% 75.00% 25.00% 47.50% 25.00% 56.67% 25.00%
		If five years of data, is Scope 1+2 increasing/stable/decreasing?	21.9%	0.00	33.33%		
		Is Scope 3 CO2e (tonnes) publicly reported?	5+ years	1.00	33.33% 50.00%		
Emissions Intensity	1.04	Carbon intensity (tonnes of Scope 1 + 2 CO2e/revenue)	60.0			75.000/	25.00%
/ Transition Risk	1.05	Carbon intensity (tonnes of Scope 1 + 2 CO2e/earnings)	116.7	Unscored	0.00%	75.00%	25.00%
-	1.06	If five years of data, is carbon intensity increasing/stable/decreasing?	1.7%	0.50	50.00%		
	1.07	Is there an emissions reduction target in place?	Yes	1.00	20.00%		
		If so, is the target science based?	No	0.00	20.00%		
	1.09	Is the target for actual reductions?	No	0.00	20.00%		
	1.10	Is there a commitment to be Net Zero?	Yes	1.00	20.00%		
	1.11	If so, how ambitious and robust is the commitment:					
	1.11.1	If a company has a Net Zero commitment, by what year is the commitment?	2050	0.50	5.00%	47.50%	25.00%
Management	1.11.2	If a company has a Net Zero commitment, is the commitment to a 1.5 degree celsius world?	No	0.00	5.00%		
	1.11.3	If a company has a Net Zero commitment, which emission scopes are included?	Not Specified	0.00	5.00%		
	1.11.4	If a company has a Net Zero commitment, are there interim targets or a goal of a 50% reduction by 2030?	Yes	1.00	5.00%		
	1.12	Has a physical risk assessment been undertaken?	Yes	1.00	33.33%		
	1.13	Does the company own any proven or probable fossil fuel reserves?	No	1.00	33.33%		
Risk & Opportunity Management	1.14	Is the proportion of revenue, assets or other business activities aligned with climate-related opportunities reported?	No	0.00	33.33%	66.67%	25.00%
		Does the company spend capital or have capital commitments (in terms					
	1.15	of capital expenditure, financing, or investment) toward climate-related	-	Unscored	0.00%		
		risks and opportunities?					
C - Total							B (63.96%)

Environmental		Metric	Data	Score	Weight	Group Score	Group
	2.01	Does the company have an ISO 14001, EMS, Toitū Envirocare carbonzero or equivalent certification on all applicable sites?	No	0.00	33.33%		
Environmental Management	2.02	Has the company issued any green/social bonds or committed to a sustainability linked loan?	No	0.00	33.33%	0.00%	16.67%
Systems	2.03	Has the company made any commitments to new build or retrofit to meet level 4, 5 or 6 of the green star (or equivalent Homestar if relevant) standard in owned or leased buildings?	No	0.00	33.33%		
	2.04	Is there a commitment to reduce waste in place?	Yes	1.00	33.33%	100.00%	
	2.05	Is waste intensity data tracked and measured?	5+ years	1.00	33.33%		
Waste	2.06	If there is five years of data, is it increasing/stable/decreasing?	-46.5%	1.00	33.33%		16.67%
	2.07	Has there been a breach related to resource consent discharges (i.e. nutrient discharge) in the last five years?	-	Unscored	0.00%		
	2.08	Is there a target for achieving water consumption efficiency?	No	0.00	33.33%		
Water	2.09	Is water consumption intensity data tracked and measured?	3 years	0.60	33.33%	20.00%	16.67%
	2.10	If there is five years of data, is it increasing/stable/decreasing?	N/A	0.00	33.33%		
Biodiversity	2.11	Is there a commitment to reduce the impact of the company's operations on biodiversity?	Yes	1.00	100.00%	100.00%	16.67%
Circular Economy	2.12	Is there a commitment to the circular economy?	No	0.00	100.00%	0.00%	16.67%
Environmental	2.13	Has there been an environmental fine in the last three years?	No	1.00	100.00%	100.00%	16.67%
E - Total							C+ (53.33%)

Social		Metric	Data	Score	Weight	Group Score	Group
	3.01	Is there a health & safety policy?	Yes	1.00	16.67%		
	3.02	Are there safety management targets in place?	Yes	1.00	16.67%		
Health & Safety	3.03	DeterministicDeterministicthere a health & safety policy?Yes1.0016.67%re there safety management targets in place?Yes1.0016.67%a measure of safety (e.g. LTIFR) tracked and measured?Yes1.0016.67%yes, is data reported?Yes1.0016.67%there is five years of data, is it increasing/stable/decreasing?177%0.0016.67%awe there been any workplace fatalities in the last five years?No1.0016.67%there a human rights policy?Yes1.0050.00%there a commitment to preventing modern slavery?Yes1.0050.00%there a supply chain code of conduct?Yes1.0033.33%there a nenvironmental policy for the supply chain?Yes1.0033.33%there a formal commitment to pay vendors a living wage?No0.0033.33%ave there been any product or service faults (unplanned) resulting in, r example, disruption to operations or recalls (including FDA regulated oducts if relevant), in the last three years?No1.00100.00%orducts if relevant, in the last three years?Yes1.0025.00%25.00%there a contemporary parental leave policy?Yes1.0025.00%25.00%ase the company made a living wage commitment?No0.0025.00%opes the company track and measure thnicity diversity metrics?No0.0050.00%opes the company track and measure the % of women managers in tops1.0050.00%50.00% </td <td>83.33%</td> <td>12.50%</td>	83.33%	12.50%			
Health & Salety	3.04	If yes, is data reported?	5+ years	1.00	16.67%	03.33%	12.50%
	3.05	If there is five years of data, is it increasing/stable/decreasing?	177%	0.00	16.67%		
	3.06	Have there been any workplace fatalities in the last five years?	No	1.00	16.67%		
Human Rights	3.07	Is there a human rights policy?	Yes	1.00	50.00%	100.00%	12.50%
	3.08	Is there a commitment to preventing modern slavery?	Yes	1.00	50.00%	100.00%	12.50%
	3.09	Is there a supply chain code of conduct?	Yes	1.00	33.33%		
Supply Chain	3.10	Is there an environmental policy for the supply chain?	Yes	1.00	33.33%	66.67%	12.50%
	3.11	Is there a formal commitment to pay vendors a living wage?	ing wage? No 0.00 33.33% planned) resulting in, cluding FDA regulated No 1.00 100.00% 100.00%				
		Have there been any product or service faults (unplanned) resulting in,					
Product Quality &	3.12	for example, disruption to operations or recalls (including FDA regulated	No	1.00	100.00%	100.00%	12.50%
Accessibility		products if relevant), in the last three years?				100.00%	12.50%
	3.13	Portion of care beds offered at standard rate?	-	Unscored	0.00%		
Employee Value	3.14	Is employee turnover measured and publicly reported?	Yes	1.00	25.00%		
Proposition /	3.15	If yes, is it <10%, <20%, >20%?	12%	0.50	25.00%	37.50%	12.50%
	3.16	Is there a contemporary parental leave policy?	No	0.00	25.00%	07.5070	12.50%
Culture	3.17	Has the company made a living wage commitment?	No	0.00	25.00%		
	3.18	Does the company track and measure ethnicity diversity metrics?	No	0.00	50.00%	50.00%	
Diversity	3.19	Does the company track and measure the % of women managers in	107%	1.00	50.00%		12.50%
	5.17	relation to % of women employees?	10778	1.00	50.00%		
Community	3.20	Is there a policy to manage community involvement?	Voc	1.00	100.00%	100.00%	12.50%
Relations	3.20		Yes	1.00	100.00%	100.00%	12.30%
Stakeholder	3.21	Is the husiness model stakeholder centric?	Vec	1 00	100.00%	100.00%	12.50%
Relations	5.21		165	1.00	100.00%	100.00%	12.30%
S - Total							A- (79.69%)

Governance		Metric	Data	Score	Weight	Group Score	Group
4. Sustainability 4.	4.01	Is there a sustainability strategy?	No	0.00	20.00%		
	4.02	Is remuneration linked to achieving sustainability performance?	Yes	1.00	20.00%		
	4.03	Any recent level 3, 4 or 5 controversies?	No	1.00	20.00%		
	4.04	Has there been a breach of UN Global Compact principles or are they on the watch list?	Compliant	1.00	20.00%	60.00%	14.29%
	4.05	Does the company have B Corporation or Future-Fit certification?	No	0.00	20.00%		
	4.06	Does the company have shares with different voting rights?	No	1.00	25.00%		
	4.07	Is there potential for a 'blocking' shareholder?	54.10%	0.00	25.00%		
nvestor	4.08	How many anti-takeover devices are there?	4	0.00	25.00%	50.00%	14.29%
rotections	4.09	Is there any evidence of significant unequal treatment of minority shareholders in any equity raisings in the last three years?	No Raise	1.00	25.00%		
	4.10	Do non-executive and independent Board members comprise the	75%	1.00	12.50%		14.29%
	4.11	Is the CEO, the Chair?	No	1.00	12.50%	87.50%	
	4.12	What is the average Board tenure?	7.1	1.00	12.50%		
	4.13	What is the average number of Board member affiliations?	Null	Unscored	0.00%		
Board	4.14	What is the size of the Board (number of people)?	8	1.00	12.50%		
	4.15	Is a Board skills matrix disclosed?	Yes	1.00	12.50%		
	4.16	Does the company have a policy for maintaining a well-balanced Board?	Yes	1.00	12.50%		
	4.17	Are all audit-committee members non-executive directors?	100%	1.00	12.50%		
	4.18	Is the Board's gender diversity sufficient? (i.e. <2/3 one gender)	25%	0.00	12.50%		
	4.19	Is the auditor tenure >10 years?	15 years	-1.00	25.00%	50.00%	14.29%
uditors	4.20	Has the lead audit partner rotated in the last five years?	Yes	1.00	25.00%		
uditors	4.21	Is auditor compensation for non-audit publicly reported?	Yes	1.00	25.00%		
	4.22	If yes, >70% of statutory audit fees — average over last three years?	2%	1.00	25.00%		
Data Security &	4.23	Is there a cybersecurity policy in place?	Yes	1.00	50.00%	100.00%	14.29%
Strategy	4.24	Is there a data privacy and protection policy in place?	Yes	1.00	50.00%		
ax	4.25	Does the Board have a tax governing framework in place?	Yes	1.00	100.00%	100.00%	14.29%
	4.26	First guartile Bloomberg disclosure score	40.09	0.50	33.33%	83.33%	
Reporting & Assurance	4.27	External assurance of sustainability report or disclosures?	Yes	1.00	33.33%		
							14.29%
	4.28	Has the company acted with integrity in financial reporting and in the timeliness and balance of corporate disclosures within the last five years?	Yes	1.00	33.33%		
i - Total							A- (75.83

Data sourced from Forsyth Barr analysis, company disclosures, Refinitiv Eikon, Sustainalytics and Bloomberg.